

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
1-4-2013	10-ML-2151	(C.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: Toyota Motor Corp. Unintended Acceleration Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that certain Toyota, Scion and Lexus vehicles equipped with electronic throttle control systems ("ETCS") are defective and can experience acceleration that is unintended by the driver. The lawsuit pursues claims for breach of warranties, unjust enrichment, and violations of various state consumer protection statutes, among other claims.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons, entities or organizations who, at any time before [date of Preliminary Approval Order], owned, purchased, leased and/or insured the residual value as a Residual Value Insurer of a Subject Vehicle equipped or installed with an ETCS distributed for sale or lease in any of the fifty States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and all other United States Territories and/or possessions.</p>	6-13-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>Steve w. Berman Hagens Berman Sobol &amp; Shapiro LLP 1918 Eighth Avenue Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101</b></p>
1-7-2013	12-CV-04800	(D.N.J.)	<p><b>John Telliho v. East Windsor Township and American Traffic Solutions</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants were statutorily non-compliant as to specific requirements contained within the Act, namely the requirements contained within New Jersey Red Light Camera Pilot Program ("N.J.S.A"). Specifically, the Act provided that red light violations could be detected by unmanned cameras, and that Notices of Violation imposing</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p><b>Stephen P. DeNittis Shabel &amp; Denittis P.C. 5 Greentree Centre Suite 302 Marlton, NJ 08053  856 797-9951</b></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>fees or fines would be issued to the registered owners of the vehicles so detected.</p> <p>Class Members include persons who received a notice of violation issued by or on behalf of one of the municipalities pursuant to the N.J.S.A alleging a traffic violation that occurred on or before 8-1-2012, and paid the traffic fee or fine imposed thereby.</p>		<a href="mailto:sdenittis@shabeldenittis.com">sdenittis@shabeldenittis.com</a>
1-7-2013	11-CV-02098	(N.D. Tex.)	<p><b>Friedman, et al. v. Penson Worldwide, Inc., et al.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants are in violation of Federal Securities Laws for breaching their fiduciary duty to shareholders.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased the publicly traded common stock of Penson between 3-30-2007 and 8-4-2011, or that held Penson common stock as of 3-23-2009, 3-29-2010 and 3-1-2011, and were entitled to vote on the election of Penson's directors.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:</p> <p>Kaplan Fox &amp; Kilsheimer LLP Jaffrey P. Campisi 850 Third Avenue 14<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10022</p> <p>212 687-1980 212 687-7714</p> <p><a href="http://www.kaplanfox.com/penon">www.kaplanfox.com/penon</a></p>
1-7-2013	MDL-2047	(E.D. La.)	<p><b>In re: Chinese-Manufactured Drywall Products Liability Litigation</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs sued the manufacturers of Chinese Drywall as well as homebuilders, developers, installers, finishers, realtors, brokers, suppliers, importers, exporters, distributors and others that were involved with Chinese Drywall, and their insurers. Because Participating Defendants either built the</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or e-mail:</p> <p>Arnold Levin Fred S. Longer Levin, Fishbein, Sedran &amp; Berman 510 walnut Street Suite 500</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>subject properties with the defective Chinese Drywall, installed the drywall in the subject properties, or supplied the drywall that is installed in the affected properties, complaints were filed against Participating Defendants and Participating Insurers, as well as other defendants, including companies responsible for manufacturing Chinese Drywall.</p> <p>The Nationwide Insureds Settlement Agreement Class Members will include: All persons or entities (except for Nationwide Participating Defendants and Participating Insurers), together with their heirs, representatives, attorneys, executors, administrators, executives, subsequent purchasers, residents, guests, tenants, lenders, successors and assigns, with claims, known or unknown, involving affected property and arising from or related to actual or alleged Chinese Drywall purchased, imported, supplied, distributed, marketed, installed, used, sold or in any way alleged to be within the legal responsibility of any Nationwide Participating Defendants does not bar them from asserting class membership in connection with their assigned claims concerning affected properties they remediated before 8-13-2012.</p> <p>The Porter-Blaine/Venture Supply Settlement Agreement Class will include: All persons or entities (except for Porter-Blaine, Venture Supply, Citizens Insurance Company of America and Hanover Insurance Company) together with their heirs,</p>		<p>Philadelphia, PA 19106</p> <p><a href="mailto:alevin@lfsblaw.com">alevin@lfsblaw.com</a> <a href="mailto:flonger@lfsblaw.com">flonger@lfsblaw.com</a></p> <p>Richard J. Serpe Law Offices of Richard J. Serpe, PC. 580 East Main Street Suite 310 Norfolk, VA 23510</p> <p><a href="mailto:rherman@hhklawfirm.com">rherman@hhklawfirm.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>representatives, attorneys, executors, administrators, executives, subsequent purchasers, residents, guests, tenants, lenders, successors and assigns, with claims, known or unknown, involving affected property and arising from or related to actual or alleged Chinese Drywall purchased, imported, supplied, distributed, marketed, installed, used, sold or in any way alleged to be within the legal responsibility of Porter-Blaine, Venture Supply, Citizens Insurance Company of American or Hanover Insurance Company.</p> <p>The Tobin Trading and Installers Settlement Agreement Class will include: All persons or entities (except for Tobin Trading and Installers Participating Defendants and Participating Insurers), together with their heirs, representatives, attorneys, executors, administrators, executives, subsequent purchasers, residents, guests, tenants, lenders, successors and assigns, with claims, known or unknown, involving Affected Property and arising from or related to actual or alleged Chinese Drywall purchased, imported, supplied, distributed, marketed, installed, used, sold or in any way alleged to be within the legal responsibility of any Tobin Trading or any Installers Participating Defendant.</p> <p>The Builders Mutual Insureds Settlement Class will include: All persons or entities (except for Builder Mutual Participating Defendants and Participating Insurers), together with their</p>		

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			heirs, representatives, attorneys, executors, administrator, executives, subsequent purchasers, residents, guests, tenants, lenders, successors and assigns, with claims, known or unknown, involving affected property and arising from or related to actual or alleged Chinese Drywall purchased, imported, supplied, distributed, marketed, installed, used, sold or in any way alleged to be within the legal responsibility of any Builders Mutual Participating Defendants.		
1-8-2013	07-CV-9901	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>In re: Citigroup Inc., Securities Litigation</b> The Complaint asserted claims under Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act in that Citigroup's Officers and Directors allegedly failed to make disclosures concerning certain investment vehicles to potential investors.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired common stock issued by Citigroup during the period between 2-26-2007 and 4-18-2008, inclusive, or their successor in interest, and who were damaged thereby.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Peter S. Linden Ira M. Oress Andrew McNeela Kirby McInerney LLP 825 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022</p>
1-8-2013	07-CV-862	(N.D. Ga.)	<p><b>In re: Wells Real Estate Investment Trust, Inc. Securities Litigation</b> This notice relates to two separate actions, both of which are being settled:</p> <p>The Wells Action: The Wells Action was filed on 3-12-2007, in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, as a putative</p>	4-18-2013	<p>For more information write, call or visit:</p> <p>Kimberly M. Donaldson Chimicles &amp; Tikellis LLP 361 W. Lancaster Ave. Harverford, PA 19041 <a href="http://www.chimicles.com">www.chimicles.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>class and derivative action on behalf of Piedmont Office Realty Trust, Inc. (former known as Wells Real Estate Investment Trust, Inc.) shareholders who were entitled to vote on the Schedule 14A Proxy Statement that was filed with the SEC on 2-26-2007, by Piedmont and was thereafter supplemented (the "Wells Proxy"). Plaintiffs allege that Defendants failed to disclose material information about the value of an Internalization, including information about the alternatives to the Internalization considered by Piedmont's board of directors ("Board") prior to their approving the Internalization and recommending that the shareholders approve the Internalization.</p> <p><u>The Piedmont Action:</u> The second action, the Piedmont Action, arose after the vote on the Internalization and around the time that Wells REIT changed its name to Piedmont. The Piedmont Action was filed on 10-25-2007, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia as a class action alleging violations of Section 14(a) and 14(e) of the Exchange Act on behalf of two proposed classes of Piedmont shareholders. On 5-2-2008, the Court appointed Washtenaw as the Lead Plaintiff, who was later joined by Clara Smith as an additional name plaintiff in the Action, and the Court approved Lead Plaintiff's selection of Co-Lead Counsel.</p> <p>Class Members are of one or more of the following Classes:</p> <p>The Wells Action Class includes: all Piedmont</p>		<p>Lawrence A Sucharow Labaton Sucharow LLP 140 Broadway New York, NY 10005 <a href="http://www.labaton.com">www.labaton.com</a></p> <p>Krissi T. Gore Chitwood Harley Harnes LLP 2300 Promenade II 1230 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30309 404 873-3900 <a href="http://www.chitwoodlaw.com">www.chitwoodlaw.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>shareholders (including their heirs, successors, and assigns) who were entitled to vote on the proposal in Piedmont's Schedule 14A Proxy Statement dated 2-26-2007, as amended or supplemented. Anyone previously excluded from the Wells Rule 23(b) (3) Class is no longer a Wells Class Member.</p> <p>The Piedmont Action Class includes: (a) all who held shares of Piedmont at the time of the tender offer by Lex-Win Acquisition LLC ("Lex Win") between 5-25-2007 and 7-20-2007, and who did not tender their shares to Lex-Win (the "Tender Offer Class"); and (b) as of 10-2-2007 were entitled to vote on the proposals in Piedmont's Schedule 14A Proxy Statement dated 10-16-2007 (as amended and supplemented on 10-19-2007 and 11-2-2007).</p>		
1-9-2013	07-CV-02660	(N.D. Ga.)	<p><b>In re: Piedmont Office Realty Trust, Inc. Securities Litigation</b> Please see <b>In re: Wells Real Estate Investment Trust, Inc. Securities Litigation</b> above.</p>	4-18-2013	<p>For more information write, call or visit:  Please see above</p>
1-9-2013	07-CV-01298	(C.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Christopher Kearney, et al. vs. Hyundai Motor America</b> Plaintiffs allege that Hyundai's Occupant Classification System ("OCS") of the 2006-2009 model year does not consistently recognize persons of small-structure-adult size, and that the OCS design causes the passenger-side air bag not to deploy in the event of an accident when such persons occupy the front passenger seat. It</p>	6-7-2013	<p>For more information write to, call or fax:  Jason R. Erb Assistant General Counsel Director, Litigation Hyundai Motor America 3200 Park Center Drive</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>is an automatic suppression feature that turns off a vehicle's front passenger seat air bag system when it determines that the front passenger seat is occupied by a child-sized occupant, a child seat or unoccupied.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons residing in one of the fifty states or the District of Columbia who are currently registered as the owner of (1) a Model Year 2006-2008 Sonata vehicle; (2) a Model Year 2007-2009 Santa Fe vehicle produced between 4-19-2006 through 7-7-2008; or (3) a Model Year 2006-2009 Azera vehicle.</p>		<p>Costa Mesa, CA 92626</p> <p>714 965-3000</p> <p>714 965-3816</p>
1-11-2013	12-CV-3201	(C.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Dr. Mark W. Sturdy d/b/a Rochester Veterinary Clinic v. Ceva Animal Health, LLC, and John Does 1-10</b></p> <p>Plaintiff sued Ceva Animal Health, LLC ("Defendant"), alleging that it received two unsolicited facsimile advertisements from Defendant and that the sending of these faxes violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. Plaintiff sought to represent a class of persons to whom Defendant sent unsolicited facsimiles.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities with facsimile numbers who, from 8-2008 through 5-2012, were sent faxes by or on behalf of Defendant Ceva Animal Health, LLC, promoting its goods or services for sale.</p>	5-8-2013	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Class Action Administration, Inc. 10875 Dover Street Suite 300 Westminster, CO 80021</p> <p>720 540-4422</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
1-11-2013	09-CV-00938	(D. Colo.)	<p><b>Tennile v. The Western Union Co.</b> Plaintiffs allege that Western Union did not timely notify its customers that their Western Union Transactions were not redeemed by the receivers to whom the Western Union Transactions were sent, and as a result caused harm to their customers.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons: (a) who initiated any Western Union Transaction in the United States on or after 1-1-2001 and on or before the date of the Preliminary Approval, whose Western Union Transaction was not redeemed within 60 calendar days; and (b) who either: (i) have not claimed their money transfer funds (or had that money claimed on their behalf) from Western Union; or (ii) were informed by written communication that their money was about to escheat to the state, district, territory, or U.S. jurisdiction in which their money transfers were initiated, and who sought and received a refund of their money but did not receive a payment for interest Western Union earned on the money.</p>	6-14-2013	<p>For more information visit: <a href="http://www.MoneyTransferSettlement.com">www.MoneyTransferSettlement.com</a></p>
1-15-2012	12-CV-2714	(S.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Nigh v. Humphreys Pharmacal Inc. et al.</b> Plaintiffs allege that labeling and marketing on homeopathic products manufactured or distributed by defendants Humphreys Pharmacal Inc. and its parent company, Dickinson Brands Inc., were false or deceptive.</p> <p>Class Members are persons who purchased any</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to: Claims Administrator, c/o Gilardi &amp; Co. 3301 Kemer Blvd. San Rafael, CA 94901</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			homeopathic product manufactured or distributed by Humphreys labeled as "homeopathic" between June 2008 and the Preliminary Approval Date (the "Products").		
1-16-2013	11-CV-05794	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>In re: Ener1, Inc. Securities Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege violations of Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in regards to Ener1's intent to restate its financial results for fiscal year 2010 and the first quarter of 2011 in order to reflect certain losses as of 12-31-2010.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and/or entities who purchased or otherwise acquired common stock in Ener1, Inc. during the period from 11-4-2010 through 8-15-2011 and were damaged thereby.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p><b>William B. Federman Federman &amp; Sherwood 10205 N. Pennsylvania Ave. Oklahoma City, Okla. 73120</b></p> <p>(405) 235-1560 (405) 239-2112</p>
1-16-2013	11-CV-01455 11-CV-0721	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Melissa Ferrington and Cheryl Schmidt v. McAfee, Inc. Ken Pochis v. Arpu, Inc., McAfee, Inc., and IOLO Technologies, LLC.</b> Plaintiffs allege that their debit and credit card accounts were charged on a monthly basis because of a post-transaction marketing scheme that was deceptive.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the United States who clicked through a pop-up advertisement from Arpu at the conclusion of a transaction with McAfee on the McAfee website between 4-2006 and the date of this notice and whose confidential billing information was</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>Andrew N. Friedman Cohen Milstein Sellers &amp; Toll PLLC 1100 New York Ave, N.W. West Tower, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005</b></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			transferred by McAfee to Arpu, and who were subsequently charged for the software sold by Arpu in the pop-up advertisement.		
1-17-2013	11-CV-01368 11-CV-00945 11-CV-05239 11-CV-03329 11-CV-02140 11-CV-02325 11-BC-455001	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Campbell v. Euromarket Designs, Inc.</b>  <b>Dardarian v. Euromarket Designs, Inc.</b>  <b>Heon v. Euromarket Designs, Inc.</b>  <b>Noble v. Euromarket Designs, Inc.</b>  <b>O'Connor v. Euromarket Designs, Inc.</b>  <b>Shughrou v. Euromarket Designs, Inc.</b>  <b>Salmonson v. Euromarket Designs, Inc.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Crate &amp; Barrel violated California law by requesting and recording the zip codes of its customers during credit card transactions at its California stores.</p> <p>The court decided that those who fit one of the descriptions below are Class Members:</p> <p>Statutory Claimants: All persons who: (1) purchased merchandise from a Crate &amp; Barrel store in California between 2-11-2010 and 2-11-2011; (2) using a credit card; and (3) from whom Crate &amp; Barrel requested and recorded their zip codes into its point of sale system.</p> <p>Common Law Claimants: All persons who: (1) purchased merchandise from a Crate &amp; Barrel store in California between 7-16-2009 and 2-10-2011; (2) using a credit card; and (3) from whom Crate &amp; Barrel requested and recorded their zip codes into its point of sale system.</p>	3-22-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Patterson Law Group, APC  Matthew J. O'Connor  James R. Patterson  Allison Hughes Goddard  402 W. Broadway  29<sup>th</sup> Floor  San Diego, CA 92101</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
1-18-2013	07-CV-01459	(C.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Craig and Julie Johnson v. Ticketmaster Corp., Ticketmaster, LLC, Entertainment Publications, Inc. a/k/a Entertainment, Inc. IAC/Interactivecorp.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that defendants used deceptive practices to enroll customers of Ticketmaster.com into the "Entertainment Rewards" program.</p> <p>Class Members are anyone who: (1) made a purchase in the US on the ticketmaster.com website between 9-27-2004 and 6-9-2009; (2) were enrolled in the "Entertainment Rewards" discount coupon program via a process that included Ticketmaster's transfer of credit or debit card information to Entertainment Publications, Inc.; (3) were subsequently charged for membership in the Entertainment Rewards program; (4) did not receive a full refund of amounts charged; and (5) as of [date of Preliminary Approval], have not printed any coupon or applied for any cashback award in connection with the Entertainment Rewards program.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Counsel at Entertainment Rewards Settlement Gutride Safier LLP P.O. Box 460823 San Francisco, CA 94146</p>
1-22-2013	06-CV-03226	(D.N.J.)	<p><b>In re: Par Pharmaceutical Securities Litigation</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendant violated the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by selling common stock during the Class Period at prices that were artificially inflated as a result of Defendants' false and misleading statements and material omissions.</p> <p>Class Members are persons or entities who</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to. call or fax:</p> <p>Berman Devalerio Norman Berman Bryan wood Justin Saif One Liberty Square Boston, MA 02109</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			purchased or acquired shares of Par common stock during the period from 7-23-2001 through and including 7-5-2006 and who were damaged thereby.		617 542-8300  617 542-1194
1-25-2013	09-CV-1314	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Wolph v. Acer America Corp.</b> Plaintiffs allege that Acer Notebooks did not contain sufficient memory to properly run the pre-installed operation system, including Microsoft® windows Vista Home Premium, Business, and/or Ultimate operating systems ("Vista Premium OS"). Plaintiffs claim that as a result of this insufficient system memory, Acer's Notebooks suffered from freezing, crashes, lock-ups, and performed poorly.</p> <p>Class Members are U.S. residents who:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Bought a new Notebook from Acer or an Acer Authorized Reseller, that came pre-installed with a Microsoft® Windows Vista Home Premium, Business, or Ultimate operating system, which contained 1GB of RAM or less as shared memory for both the system and graphics; and</li> <li>· Have not returned the Notebook for a refund.</li> </ul>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>Pearson, Simon, Warshaw &amp; Penny, LLP</b> 15165 Ventura Boulevard Suite 400 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403</p>
1-28-2013	12-CV-510	(E.D. Va.)	<p><b>Maksim Tsvetovat, et al. v. Segan, Mason &amp; Mason, P.C.</b> The Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant, on behalf of homeowners' associations, attempted to collect amounts of money that it was not legally entitled to collect and used illegal methods to collect this money in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.</p>	4-12-2013	<p>For more inforatmion write to:</p> <p><b>Leonard A. Bennett Susan M. Rotkis Consumer Litigation Associates, P.C.</b> 763 J. Clyde Morris Blvd. Suite 1-A</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all natural persons to whom Segan Mason mailed correspondence on behalf of a Condominium or Homeowner's association on or after 5-8-2011 making a demand for payment of attorneys' fees that had not been awarded by a Court or interest that was not yet due, or failed to credit payments made.</p>		<p>Newport News, Va. 23601</p> <p>Kristi Cahoon Kelly J. Chapman Petersen Scott A. Surovell Surovell, Isaacs, Peterson &amp; Levy, PLC 4010 University Drive Suite 200 Fairfax, VA 22030</p>
1-28-2013	10-CV-04312	(E.D. Pa.)	<p><b>Sapp v. Experian Information Solutions, Inc.</b> Plaintiff alleges that Experian sent letters that misrepresented its investigation of a dispute when a consumer disputed a public record (such as a judgment, tax lien, or bankruptcy) appearing on his or her credit report. Plaintiff contends that Experian misrepresented the source of Experian's public record information, in violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act. Plaintiff contends that Experian's violations were willful.</p> <p>Class Members are all natural persons in the United States who between 8-24-2008 and 4-10-2011 were sent a communication from Experian.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p>James A. Francis Francis &amp; Mailman, P.C. 100 S. Broad Street 19<sup>th</sup> Floor Philadelphia, PA 19110</p> <p>215 735-8600 <a href="mailto:Info@consumerlawfirm.com">Info@consumerlawfirm.com</a></p>
1-28-2013	11-CV-05935	(C.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Samantha Ellison v. Steven Madden, Ltd.</b> Plaintiff claims that unsolicited text messages were sent on behalf of Defendant Steve Madden, Ltd., advertising its fashion products and/or promotional events. The Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Jay Edelson Edelson McGuire, LLC 350 North LaSalle St Suite 1300 Chicago, Ill. 60654</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January 2013 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Class Members are all who received such an unsolicited text message advertising Steve Madden's products or events between 7-2010, and [date of preliminary approval].		